

Existence and stability results for some compressible primitive equations

M. Ersoy¹, T. Ngom² and M. Sy³

LJK, Grenoble, the 03 February 2011

-
1. BCAM, Spain, mersoy@bcamath.org
 2. LANI, Senegal, leontimack@yahoo.fr
 3. LANI, Senegal, syndioum@yahoo.fr

1 INTRODUCTION

2 MAIN RESULTS

- An existence result for the 2D-CPEs
- A stability result for the 3D-CPEs

3 PERSPECTIVES

1 INTRODUCTION

2 MAIN RESULTS

- An existence result for the 2D-CPEs
- A stability result for the 3D-CPEs

3 PERSPECTIVES

CONTEXT

Navier-Stokes equations (NSEs) or Euler equations (EEs) on
 $\Omega = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^3; H \ll L\}$ "thin layer domain"

CONTEXT

Navier-Stokes equations (NSEs) or Euler equations (EEs) on
 $\Omega = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^3; H \ll L\}$ "thin layer domain"

\downarrow [Ped]

Hydrostatic approximation (asymptotic analysis with $\varepsilon = H/L = W/V \ll 1$ and rescaling $\tilde{x} = x/L$, $\tilde{y} = y/H$, $\tilde{u} = u/U$ $\tilde{w} = w/W$) \longrightarrow Primitive equations (PEs)



J. Pedlowski

Geophysical Fluid Dynamics.

2nd Edition, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1987.

Navier-Stokes equations (NSEs) or Euler equations (EEs) on
 $\Omega = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^3; H \ll L\}$ "thin layer domain"

↓ [Ped]

Hydrostatic approximation (asymptotic analysis with $\varepsilon = H/L = W/V \ll 1$ and rescaling $\tilde{x} = x/L, \tilde{y} = y/H, \tilde{u} = u/U, \tilde{w} = w/W$) → Primitive equations (PEs)

↓ [GP]

Averaged PEs with respect to depth or altitude y → Saint-Venant Equations (SVEs)



J. Pedlowski

Geophysical Fluid Dynamics.

2nd Edition, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1987.



J.-F. Gerbeau and B. Perthame

Derivation of viscous Saint-Venant system for laminar shallow water ; numerical validation.

Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B, 1(1), 2001.

ATMOSPHERE DYNAMIC

- Dynamic :
 - ▶ Compressible fluid
 - ▶ Small vertical extension with respect to horizontal
 - ▶ Principally horizontal movements
 - ▶ Density stratified

ATMOSPHERE DYNAMIC

- **Dynamic :**
 - ▶ **Compressible fluid**
 - ▶ Small vertical extension with respect to horizontal
 - ▶ Principally horizontal movements
 - ▶ Density stratified
- **Modeling** (neglecting phenomena such as the evaporation and solar heating) :
Compressible Navier-Stokes equations
Hydrostatic approximation \rightarrow compressible primitive equations (CPEs)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} \frac{d}{dt}\rho + \rho \operatorname{div} \mathbf{U} & = & 0 \\ \rho \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{u} + \nabla_x p & = & \operatorname{div}_x(\sigma_x) + f \\ \partial_t(\rho v) + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{U} v) + \partial_y p(\rho) & = & -\rho g + \operatorname{div}_y(\sigma_y) \\ p(\rho) & = & c^2 \rho \end{array} \right.$$

$$\text{with } \frac{d}{dt} := \partial_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x + v \partial_y$$

ATMOSPHERE DYNAMIC

- Dynamic :
 - ▶ Compressible fluid
 - ▶ Small vertical extension with respect to horizontal
 - ▶ Principally horizontal movements
 - ▶ Density stratified
- Modeling (neglecting phenomena such as the evaporation and solar heating) :
Compressible Navier-Stokes equations
 - Hydrostatic approximation \rightarrow compressible primitive equations (CPEs)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} \frac{d}{dt}\rho + \rho \operatorname{div} \mathbf{U} & = & 0 \\ \rho \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{u} + \nabla_x p & = & \operatorname{div}_x(\sigma_x) + f \\ \partial_y p(\rho) & = & -\rho g \\ p(\rho) & = & c^2 \rho \end{array} \right.$$

ATMOSPHERE DYNAMIC

- **Dynamic :**
 - ▶ Compressible fluid
 - ▶ Small vertical extension with respect to horizontal
 - ▶ Principally horizontal movements
 - ▶ Density stratified
- **Modeling** (neglecting phenomena such as the evaporation and solar heating) :
Compressible Navier-Stokes equations
Hydrostatic approximation \rightarrow **compressible primitive equations** (CPEs)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} \frac{d}{dt}\rho + \rho \operatorname{div} \mathbf{U} & = & 0 \\ \rho \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{u} + \nabla_x p & = & \operatorname{div}_x(\sigma_x) + f \\ \partial_y p(\rho) & = & -\rho g \\ p(\rho) & = & c^2 \rho \end{array} \right.$$

ATMOSPHERE DYNAMIC

- **Dynamic :**
 - ▶ Compressible fluid
 - ▶ Small vertical extension with respect to horizontal
 - ▶ Principally horizontal movements
 - ▶ **Density stratified** : $p = \xi(t, x)e^{-g/c^2 y}$
- **Modeling** (neglecting phenomena such as the evaporation and solar heating) :
Compressible Navier-Stokes equations
Hydrostatic approximation \rightarrow **compressible primitive equations (CPEs)**

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} \frac{d}{dt}\rho + \rho \operatorname{div} \mathbf{U} & = & 0 \\ \rho \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{u} + \nabla_x p & = & \operatorname{div}_x(\sigma_x) + f \\ \partial_y p(\rho) & = & -\rho g \\ p(\rho) & = & c^2 \rho \end{array} \right.$$



M. Ersoy and T. Ngom

Existence of a global weak solution to one model of Compressible Primitive Equations.
Submitted, 2010.



M. Ersoy, T. Ngom and M. Sy

Compressible primitive equations : formal derivation and stability of weak solutions.
Nonlinearity, 24(1), pp 79-96, 2011.

FRAMEWORK

Main difference with respect to the classical viscous term found in the literature (see, for instance, Temam and Ziane [TZ04]) : here

viscosities depend on the density and are anisotropic.



R. Temam and M. Ziane

Some mathematical problems in geophysical fluid dynamics.
Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics. Vol. III, 2004.

FRAMEWORK

Main difference with respect to the classical viscous term found in the literature (see, for instance, Temam and Ziane [TZ04]) : here

viscosities depend on the density and are anisotropic.

Questions :

- 1 Existence of solutions for the classical viscous term ?



R. Temam and M. Ziane

Some mathematical problems in geophysical fluid dynamics.
Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics. Vol. III, 2004.

FRAMEWORK

Main difference with respect to the classical viscous term found in the literature (see, for instance, Temam and Ziane [TZ04]) : here

viscosities depend on the density and are anisotropic.

Questions :

- 1 Existence of solutions for the classical viscous term ?



Lions *et al.* [LTW92]

[go to](#)



J.L. Lions and R. Temam and S. Wang

New formulations for the primitive equations for the atmosphere and applications
Nonlinearity, 5(2), pp 237–288, 1992.



R. Temam and M. Ziane

Some mathematical problems in geophysical fluid dynamics.
Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics. Vol. III, 2004.

FRAMEWORK

Main difference with respect to the classical viscous term found in the literature (see, for instance, Temam and Ziane [TZ04]) : here

viscosities depend on the density and are anisotropic.

Questions :

- ❶ Existence of solutions for the classical viscous term ?
 - ✅ Lions *et al.* [LTW92]
- ❷ A special case for the two dimensional problem ?



J.L. Lions and R. Temam and S. Wang

New formulations for the primitive equations for the atmosphere and applications
Nonlinearity, 5(2), pp 237–288, 1992.



R. Temam and M. Ziane

Some mathematical problems in geophysical fluid dynamics.
Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics. Vol. III, 2004.

FRAMEWORK

Main difference with respect to the classical viscous term found in the literature (see, for instance, Temam and Ziane [TZ04]) : here

viscosities depend on the density and are anisotropic.

Questions :

❶ Existence of solutions for the classical viscous term ?

✓ Lions *et al.* [LTW92]

❷ A special case for the **two** dimensional problem ?

✓ Gatapov and Kazhikhov [GK05] [go to](#)



J.L. Lions and R. Temam and S. Wang

New formulations for the primitive equations for the atmosphere and applications
Nonlinearity, 5(2), pp 237–288, 1992.



R. Temam and M. Ziane

Some mathematical problems in geophysical fluid dynamics.
Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics. Vol. III, 2004.



B. V. Gatapov and A. V. Kazhikhov

Existence of a global solution to one model problem of atmosphere dynamics
Siberian Mathematical Journal, 46(5), pp 805–812, 2005.

FRAMEWORK

Main difference with respect to the classical viscous term found in the literature (see, for instance, Temam and Ziane [TZ04]) : here

viscosities depend on the density and are anisotropic.

Questions :

- ❶ Existence of solutions for the classical viscous term ?
 - ✅ Lions *et al.* [LTW92]
- ❷ A special case for the **two** dimensional problem ?
 - ✅ Gatapov and Kazhikhov [GK05]
- ❸ Anisotropic and density dependent viscosities for the **three** dimensional problem ?



J.L. Lions and R. Temam and S. Wang

New formulations for the primitive equations for the atmosphere and applications
Nonlinearity, 5(2), pp 237–288, 1992.



R. Temam and M. Ziane

Some mathematical problems in geophysical fluid dynamics.
Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics. Vol. III, 2004.



B. V. Gatapov and A. V. Kazhikhov

Existence of a global solution to one model problem of atmosphere dynamics
Siberian Mathematical Journal, 46(5), pp 805–812, 2005.

FRAMEWORK

Main difference with respect to the classical viscous term found in the literature (see, for instance, Temam and Ziane [TZ04]) : here

viscosities depend on the density and are anisotropic.

Questions :

- ❶ Existence of solutions for the classical viscous term ?
✅ Lions *et al.* [LTW92]
- ❷ A special case for the **two** dimensional problem ?
✅ Gatapov and Kazhikhov [GK05]
- ❸ Anisotropic and density dependent viscosities for the **three** dimensional problem ?



J.L. Lions and R. Temam and S. Wang

New formulations for the primitive equations for the atmosphere and applications
Nonlinearity, 5(2), pp 237–288, 1992.



R. Temam and M. Ziane

Some mathematical problems in geophysical fluid dynamics.
Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics. Vol. III, 2004.



B. V. Gatapov and A. V. Kazhikhov

Existence of a global solution to one model problem of atmosphere dynamics
Siberian Mathematical Journal, 46(5), pp 805–812, 2005.

USEFUL IDEAS TO DEVELOP . . .

Find a **change of variables** (in the same spirit of Lions *et al* [LTW92]) to get a **similar model** as in [GK05], that is to say, change the hydrostatic equation

$$c^2 \partial_y \rho = -g \rho \text{ into } \partial_z \xi = 0.$$

1 INTRODUCTION

2 MAIN RESULTS

- An existence result for the 2D-CPEs
- A stability result for the 3D-CPEs

3 PERSPECTIVES

1 INTRODUCTION

2 MAIN RESULTS

- An existence result for the 2D-CPEs
- A stability result for the 3D-CPEs

3 PERSPECTIVES

A USEFUL CHANGE OF VARIABLES [EN10]

Let us consider the following two dimensional problem :

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\rho + \rho \operatorname{div} \mathbf{U} &= 0 \\ \rho \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{u} + c^2 \partial_x \rho &= \partial_x (\nu_1(t, x, y) \partial_x u) + \partial_y (\nu_2(t, x, y) \partial_y u) \\ c^2 \partial_y \rho &= -g\rho \end{cases}$$

with $\mathbf{U} = (\mathbf{u}, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2$

or equivalently, in conservative form :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \partial_x(\rho \mathbf{u}) + \partial_y(\rho v) &= 0 \\ \partial_t(\rho \mathbf{u}) + \partial_x(\rho \mathbf{u}^2) + \partial_y(\rho \mathbf{u}v) + c^2 \partial_x \rho &= \partial_x(\nu_1(t, x, y) \partial_x \mathbf{u}) \\ &\quad + \partial_y(\nu_2(t, x, y) \partial_y \mathbf{u}) \\ c^2 \partial_y \rho &= -g\rho \end{cases}$$

A USEFUL CHANGE OF VARIABLES [EN10]

Let us consider the following two dimensional problem :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} \partial_t \rho + \partial_x(\rho \mathbf{u}) + \partial_y(\rho \mathbf{u}) & = & 0 \\ \partial_t(\rho \mathbf{u}) + \partial_x(\rho \mathbf{u}^2) + \partial_y(\rho \mathbf{u} \mathbf{v}) + c^2 \partial_x \rho & = & \partial_x(\nu_1(t, x, y) \partial_x \mathbf{u}) \\ & & + \partial_y(\nu_2(t, x, y) \partial_y \mathbf{u}) \\ c^2 \partial_y \rho & = & -g\rho \end{array} \right.$$

Then,

- Set $\rho = \xi(t, x) e^{-\frac{g}{c^2} y}$, $\nu_1(t, x, y) = \bar{\nu}_1 e^{-\frac{g}{c^2} y}$, $\nu_2(t, x, y) = \bar{\nu}_2 e^{\frac{g}{c^2} y}$,
 $(\bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and multiply by $e^{\frac{g}{c^2} y}$

A USEFUL CHANGE OF VARIABLES [EN10]

Let us consider the following two dimensional problem :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} \partial_t \xi + \partial_x(\xi \mathbf{u}) + e^{\frac{g}{c^2}y} \partial_y(\xi e^{-\frac{g}{c^2}y} \mathbf{u}) & = & 0 \\ \partial_t(\xi \mathbf{u}) + \partial_x(\xi \mathbf{u}^2) + e^{\frac{g}{c^2}y} \partial_y(\xi e^{-\frac{g}{c^2}y} \mathbf{u}v) + c^2 \partial_x \xi & = & \bar{\nu}_1 \partial_{xx} \mathbf{u} \\ & & + \bar{\nu}_2 e^{\frac{g}{c^2}y} \partial_y(e^{\frac{g}{c^2}y} \partial_y \mathbf{u}) \\ c^2 e^{\frac{g}{c^2}y} \partial_y(\xi e^{-\frac{g}{c^2}y}) & = & -g\xi \end{array} \right.$$

Then,

- Set $\rho = \xi(t, x) e^{-\frac{g}{c^2}y}$, $\nu_1(t, x, y) = \bar{\nu}_1 e^{-\frac{g}{c^2}y}$, $\nu_2(t, x, y) = \bar{\nu}_2 e^{\frac{g}{c^2}y}$, $(\bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and multiply by $e^{\frac{g}{c^2}y}$

A USEFUL CHANGE OF VARIABLES [EN10]

Let us consider the following two dimensional problem :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} \partial_t \xi + \partial_x(\xi \mathbf{u}) + e^{\frac{g}{c^2}y} \partial_y(\xi e^{-\frac{g}{c^2}y} \mathbf{u}) & = & 0 \\ \partial_t(\xi \mathbf{u}) + \partial_x(\xi \mathbf{u}^2) + e^{\frac{g}{c^2}y} \partial_y(\xi e^{-\frac{g}{c^2}y} \mathbf{u}v) + c^2 \partial_x \xi & = & \bar{\nu}_1 \partial_{xx} \mathbf{u} \\ & & + \bar{\nu}_2 e^{\frac{g}{c^2}y} \partial_y(e^{\frac{g}{c^2}y} \partial_y \mathbf{u}) \\ c^2 e^{\frac{g}{c^2}y} \partial_y(\xi e^{-\frac{g}{c^2}y}) & = & -g\xi \end{array} \right.$$

Then,

- Set $\rho = \xi(t, x) e^{-\frac{g}{c^2}y}$, $\nu_1(t, x, y) = \bar{\nu}_1 e^{-\frac{g}{c^2}y}$, $\nu_2(t, x, y) = \bar{\nu}_2 e^{\frac{g}{c^2}y}$, $(\bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and multiply by $e^{\frac{g}{c^2}y}$
- Set $\partial_z \cdot = e^{\frac{g}{c^2}y} \partial_y \cdot$ and $w = e^{-\frac{g}{c^2}y} v$

A USEFUL CHANGE OF VARIABLES [EN10]

Let us consider the following two dimensional problem :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} \partial_t \xi + \partial_x(\xi \mathbf{u}) + \partial_z(\xi \mathbf{u}) & = & 0 \\ \partial_t(\xi \mathbf{u}) + \partial_x(\xi \mathbf{u}^2) + \partial_z(\xi \mathbf{u} w) + c^2 \partial_x \xi & = & \bar{\nu}_1 \partial_{xx} \mathbf{u} \\ & & + \bar{\nu}_2 \partial_{zz} \mathbf{u} \\ \partial_z \xi & = & 0 \end{array} \right.$$

Then,

- Set $\rho = \xi(t, x) e^{-\frac{g}{c^2} y}$, $\nu_1(t, x, y) = \bar{\nu}_1 e^{-\frac{g}{c^2} y}$, $\nu_2(t, x, y) = \bar{\nu}_2 e^{\frac{g}{c^2} y}$, $(\bar{\nu}_1, \bar{\nu}_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and multiply by $e^{\frac{g}{c^2} y}$
- Set $\partial_z \cdot = e^{\frac{g}{c^2} y} \partial_y \cdot$ and $w = e^{-\frac{g}{c^2} y} v$

A USEFUL CHANGE OF VARIABLES [EN10]

Finally, we get :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} \partial_t \xi + \partial_x(\xi \mathbf{u}) + \partial_z(\xi \mathbf{u}) & = & 0 \\ \partial_t(\xi \mathbf{u}) + \partial_x(\xi \mathbf{u}^2) + \partial_z(\xi \mathbf{u} w) + c^2 \partial_x \xi & = & \overline{\nu}_1 \partial_{xx} \mathbf{u} \\ & & + \overline{\nu}_2 \partial_{zz} \mathbf{u} \\ \partial_z \xi & = & 0 \end{array} \right.$$

or equivalently, in non-conservative form :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{lcl} \frac{d}{dt} \xi + \xi \operatorname{div} \mathbf{U} & = & 0 \\ \xi \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{u} + c^2 \partial_x \xi & = & \overline{\nu}_1 \partial_{xx} \mathbf{u} + \overline{\nu}_2 \partial_{zz} \mathbf{u} \partial_z \xi = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

with

- $\mathbf{U} := (\mathbf{u}, w)$,
- $\frac{D}{Dt} := \partial_t + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla$,
- $\nabla := (\partial_x, \partial_z)^t$,
- $\operatorname{div} := \partial_x + \partial_z$.

and corresponds exactly to the model studied by [GK05] : existence of weak solutions global in time for the model with (ρ, \mathbf{u}) is **then** a straightforward consequence.

1 INTRODUCTION

2 MAIN RESULTS

- An existence result for the 2D-CPEs
- A stability result for the 3D-CPEs

3 PERSPECTIVES

THE 3D-CPEs

Let us consider the following model posed on $\Omega = \{(x, y); x \in \mathcal{T}^2, 0 < y < 1\}$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{d}{dt}\rho + \rho \operatorname{div} \mathbf{U} = 0, \\ \rho \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{u} + \nabla_x p = 2 \operatorname{div}_x (\nu_1(t, x, y) D_x(\mathbf{u})) + \partial_y (\nu_2(t, x, y) \partial_y \mathbf{u}), \\ \partial_y p = -g\rho, \\ p(\rho) = c^2 \rho \end{array} \right.$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{periodic conditions on } \partial\Omega_x, \\ & v|_{y=0} = v|_{y=H} = 0, \\ & \partial_y \mathbf{u}|_{y=0} = \partial_y \mathbf{u}|_{y=H} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}(0, x, y) &= \mathbf{u}_0(x, y), \\ \rho(0, x, y) &= \xi_0(x) e^{-g/c^2 y} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$0 \leq \xi_0(x) \leq M < +\infty.$$

ENERGY ESTIMATES ???

Let us multiply the previous system by \mathbf{U} , we get :

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (\rho |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \rho \ln \rho - \rho + 1) dx dy + \int_{\Omega} 2\nu_1 |D_x(\mathbf{u})|^2 + \nu_2 |\partial_y^2 \mathbf{u}| dx dy + \int_{\Omega} \rho g v dx dy$$

where $\int_{\Omega} \rho g v dx dy >? < 0 ???$.

ENERGY ESTIMATES ???

Let us multiply the previous system by \mathbf{U} , we get :

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (\rho |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \rho \ln \rho - \rho + 1) dx dy + \int_{\Omega} 2\nu_1 |D_x(\mathbf{u})|^2 + \nu_2 |\partial_y^2 \mathbf{u}| dx dy + \int_{\Omega} \rho g v dx dy$$

where $\int_{\Omega} \rho g v dx dy >? < 0 ???$.

Could we simply multiply by \mathbf{u} instead of \mathbf{U} ?

ENERGY ESTIMATES ???

Let us multiply the previous system by \mathbf{U} , we get :

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (\rho |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \rho \ln \rho - \rho + 1) dx dy + \int_{\Omega} 2\nu_1 |D_x(\mathbf{u})|^2 + \nu_2 |\partial_y^2 \mathbf{u}| dx dy + \int_{\Omega} \rho g v dx dy$$

where $\int_{\Omega} \rho g v dx dy >? < 0 ???$.

No, it is not enough to get useful estimates for stability of solutions.

ENERGY ESTIMATES ? ? ?

Let us multiply the previous system by \mathbf{U} , we get :

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} (\rho |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \rho \ln \rho - \rho + 1) dx dy + \int_{\Omega} 2\nu_1 |D_x(\mathbf{u})|^2 + \nu_2 |\partial_y^2 \mathbf{u}| dx dy + \int_{\Omega} \rho g v dx dy$$

where $\int_{\Omega} \rho g v dx dy > ? < 0 ? ? ?$.

However, if the rhs of the last is zero : from the mass equation, we have

$$\partial_{zz} w = \frac{1}{\xi} \operatorname{div}_x (\xi \partial_z \mathbf{u})$$

a crucial information to get additional estimates.

Consequently, we systematically perform the previous change of variables, i.e. changes (ρ, \mathbf{u}, v) in (ξ, \mathbf{u}, w) .

VISCOSITIES ? ? ?

If we choose the previous viscosities, we get :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{d}{dt}\xi + \xi \operatorname{div} \mathbf{U} = 0, \\ \xi \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{u} + \nabla_x p = \overline{\nu}_1 \Delta_x \mathbf{u} + \overline{\nu}_2 \partial_{yy} \mathbf{u}, \\ \partial_z \xi = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

VISCOSITIES ? ? ?

If we choose the previous viscosities, we get :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{d}{dt}\xi + \xi \operatorname{div} \mathbf{U} = 0, \\ \xi \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{u} + \nabla_x p = \overline{\nu}_1 \Delta_x \mathbf{u} + \overline{\nu}_2 \partial_{yy} \mathbf{u}, \\ \partial_z \xi = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

- energy estimates OK!

VISCOSITIES ? ? ?

If we choose the previous viscosities, we get :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{d}{dt}\xi + \xi \operatorname{div} \mathbf{U} = 0, \\ \xi \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{u} + \nabla_x p = \overline{\nu}_1 \Delta_x \mathbf{u} + \overline{\nu}_2 \partial_{yy} \mathbf{u}, \\ \partial_z \xi = 0 \end{array} \right.$$

- energy estimates OK !
- No way to establish results⁴ : Lagrangian coordinates approach as in [GK05] fails.

VISCOSITIES ? ? ?

Choose $\nu_1(t, x, y) = \bar{\nu}_1 \rho(t, x, y)$ and $\nu_2(t, x, y) = \bar{\nu}_2 \rho(t, x, y) e^{2y}$ with $\bar{\nu}_i > 0$, we get :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{d}{dt} \xi + \xi (\operatorname{div}_x \mathbf{u} + \partial_z w) = 0, \\ \xi \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{u} + c^2 \nabla_x \xi = 2\bar{\nu}_1 \operatorname{div}_x (\xi D_x(\mathbf{u})) + \bar{\nu}_2 \partial_z (\xi \nu_2(t, x, z) \partial_z \mathbf{u}), \\ \partial_z \xi = 0, \\ p(\xi) = c^2 \xi \end{array} \right. \quad (1)$$

Then,

- Existence ???
- Stability of weak solutions : Yes !!! by adding a regularizing term to equations in order to pass to the limit in the non-linear term $\xi \mathbf{u}^2$ (BD-entropy).

WITH THESE SETTINGS

Multiply by \mathbf{U} , the energy reads :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega'} \left(\xi \frac{\mathbf{u}^2}{2} + (\xi \ln \xi - \xi + 1) \right) dx dz + \int_{\Omega'} \xi (2\bar{\nu}_1 |D_x(\mathbf{u})|^2 + \bar{\nu}_2 |\partial_z \mathbf{u}|^2) dx dz \\ + r \int_{\Omega'} \xi |\mathbf{u}|^3 dx dz \leq 0 \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

which provides the uniform estimates :

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\xi} \mathbf{u} \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; (L^2(\Omega'))^2), \\ \xi^{\frac{1}{3}} \mathbf{u} \text{ is bounded in } L^3(0, T; (L^3(\Omega'))^2), \\ \sqrt{\xi} \partial_z \mathbf{u} \text{ is bounded in } L^2(0, T; (L^2(\Omega'))^2), \\ \sqrt{\xi} D_x(\mathbf{u}) \text{ is bounded in } L^2(0, T; (L^2(\Omega'))^{2 \times 2}), \\ \xi \ln \xi - \xi + 1 \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; L^1(\Omega')). \end{aligned}$$

WITH THESE SETTINGS

Following BD the strong convergence of $\sqrt{\xi}\mathbf{u}$ required to pass to the limit in the non linear term $\xi\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}$ is obtained by the BD entropy :

Take the gradient of the mass equation, multiply by $2\bar{\nu}_1$, write the term $\nabla_x \xi$ as $\xi \nabla_x \ln \xi$, combine with the momentum equations, to get the entropy inequality :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega'} (\xi |\mathbf{u} + 2\bar{\nu}_1 \nabla_x \ln \xi|^2 + 2(\xi \log \xi - \xi + 1)) \, dx dz \\ + \int_{\Omega'} 2\bar{\nu}_1 \xi |\partial_z w|^2 + 2\bar{\nu}_1 \xi |A_x(u)|^2 + \bar{\nu}_2 \xi |\partial_z \mathbf{u}|^2 \, dx dz \\ + \int_{\Omega'} r \xi |\mathbf{u}|^3 + 2\bar{\nu}_1 r |\mathbf{u}| \mathbf{u} \nabla_x \xi + 8\bar{\nu}_1 |\nabla_x \sqrt{\xi}|^2 \, dx dz = 0. \quad (3) \end{aligned}$$

which gives the following estimates :

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \sqrt{\xi} \text{ is bounded in } L^\infty(0, T; (L^2(\Omega'))^3), \\ \sqrt{\xi} \partial_z w \text{ is bounded in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega')), \\ \sqrt{\xi} A_x(\mathbf{u}) \text{ is bounded in } L^2(0, T; (L^2(\Omega'))^{2 \times 2}). \end{aligned}$$

WITH THESE SETTINGS

Define the set of function $\rho \in \mathcal{PE}(\mathbf{u}, v; y, \rho_0)$ which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &\in L^\infty(0, T; L^3(\Omega)), & \sqrt{\rho} &\in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega)), \\ \sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u} &\in L^2(0, T; (L^2(\Omega))^2), & \sqrt{\rho}v &\in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \\ \sqrt{\rho}D_x(\mathbf{u}) &\in L^2(0, T; (L^2(\Omega))^{2 \times 2}), & \sqrt{\rho}\partial_y v &\in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \\ \nabla\sqrt{\rho} &\in L^2(0, T; (L^2(\Omega))^3) \end{aligned}$$

with $\rho \geq 0$ and where $(\rho, \sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}, \sqrt{\rho}v)$ satisfies :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}_x(\sqrt{\rho}\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}) + \partial_y(\sqrt{\rho}\sqrt{\rho}v) = 0, \\ \rho_{t=0} = \rho_0. \end{cases}$$

WITH THESE SETTINGS

Define the integral operators, for any smooth test function φ with compact support such as $\varphi(T, x, y) = 0$ and $\varphi_0 = \varphi_{t=0}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}(\rho, \mathbf{u}, v; \varphi, dy) = & - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \rho \mathbf{u} \partial_t \varphi \, dx dy dt \\ & + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} (2\nu_1(t, x, y) \rho D_x(\mathbf{u}) - \rho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) : \nabla_x \varphi \, dx dy dt \\ & + \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} r \rho |\mathbf{u}| \mathbf{u} \varphi \, dx dy dt - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \rho \operatorname{div}(\varphi) \, dx dy dt \\ & - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{u} \partial_y (\nu_2(t, x, y) \partial_y \varphi) \, dx dy dt \\ & - \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \rho v \mathbf{u} \partial_y \varphi \, dx dy dt\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(\rho, \mathbf{u}, v; \varphi, dy) = \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \rho v \varphi \, dx dy dt$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}(\rho, \mathbf{u}; \varphi, dy) = \int_{\Omega} \rho|_{t=0} \mathbf{u}|_{t=0} \varphi_0 \, dx dy$$

DEFINITION

A weak solution of 3D-CPEs on $[0, T] \times \Omega$, with boundary conditions and initial conditions, is a collection of functions (ρ, \mathbf{u}, v) such as $\rho \in \mathcal{PE}(\mathbf{u}, v; y, \rho_0)$ and the following equality holds for all smooth test function φ with compact support such as $\varphi(T, x, y) = 0$ and $\varphi_0 = \varphi_{t=0}$:

$$\mathcal{A}(\rho, \mathbf{u}, v; \varphi, dy) + \mathcal{B}(\rho, \mathbf{u}, v; \varphi, dy) = \mathcal{C}(\rho, \mathbf{u}; \varphi, dy) .$$

THEOREM

Let $(\rho_n, \mathbf{u}_n, v_n)$ be a sequence of weak solutions of 3D-CPEs, with boundary conditions and initial conditions, satisfying entropy inequalities (2) and (3) such as

$$\rho_n \geq 0, \quad \rho_0^n \rightarrow \rho_0 \text{ in } L^1(\Omega), \quad \rho_0^n \mathbf{u}_0^n \rightarrow \rho_0 \mathbf{u}_0 \text{ in } L^1(\Omega).$$

Then, up to a subsequence,

- ρ_n converges strongly in $\mathcal{C}^0(0, T; L^{3/2}(\Omega))$,
- $\sqrt{\rho_n} \mathbf{u}_n$ converges strongly in $L^2(0, T; (L^{3/2}(\Omega))^2)$,
- $\rho_n u_n$ converges strongly in $L^1(0, T; (L^1(\Omega))^2)$ for all $T > 0$,
- $(\rho_n, \sqrt{\rho_n} \mathbf{u}_n, \sqrt{\rho_n} v_n)$ converges to a weak solution of 3D-CPEs,
- $(\rho_n, \mathbf{u}_n, v_n)$ satisfies the energy inequality (2), the entropy inequality (3) and converges to a weak solution of 3D-CPEs.

To show the compactness of sequences $(\xi_n, \mathbf{u}_n, w_n)$ in appropriate space function we follow the work of Mellet *et al.* [MV07] :

- ❶ show the convergence of the sequence $\sqrt{\xi_n}$,
- ❷ we seek bounds of $\sqrt{\xi_n} \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\sqrt{\xi_n} w_n$,
- ❸ prove the convergence of $\xi_n \mathbf{u}_n$,
- ❹ prove the convergence of $\sqrt{\xi_n} \mathbf{u}_n$.

which ends the proof.



A. Mellet and A. Vasseur

On the barotropic compressible Navier-Stokes equations.

Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 32(1-3), pp 431–452, 2007.

1 INTRODUCTION

2 MAIN RESULTS

- An existence result for the 2D-CPEs
- A stability result for the 3D-CPEs

3 PERSPECTIVES

- Show the existence or stability of weak solutions for the 3D-CPEs with $\nu_1 = \overline{\nu}_1 e^{-\frac{g}{c^2}y}$ and $\nu_2 = \overline{\nu}_2 e^{\frac{g}{c^2}y}$,
- Show the existence of weak solutions for the presented 3D-CPEs.

Thank you

Thank you

for your

for your

attention

attention

One more thing

MAIN STEPS [LTW92, TZ04] :

Equations are

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rho \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{u} + \nabla_x p = \mu \Delta_x \mathbf{u} + \nu \partial_y^2 \mathbf{u}, \\ \partial_y p = -g\rho, \quad p = c^2 \rho \\ \frac{d}{dt} \rho + \rho \operatorname{div} \mathbf{U} = 0, \\ c_p \frac{D}{Dt} \mathcal{T} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{D}{Dt} p = Q_{\mathcal{T}}, \\ \frac{D}{Dt} q = Q_q \end{array} \right.$$



J.L. Lions and R. Temam and S. Wang

New formulations for the primitive equations for the atmosphere and applications
Nonlinearity, 5(2), pp 237–288, 1992.



R. Temam and M. Ziane

Some mathematical problems in geophysical fluid dynamics.
Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics. Vol. III, 2004.

MAIN STEPS [LTW92, TZ04] :

Equations are

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rho \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{u} + \nabla_x p = \mu \Delta_x \mathbf{u} + \nu \partial_y^2 \mathbf{u}, \\ \partial_y p = -g\rho, \quad p = c^2 \rho \\ \frac{d}{dt} \rho + \rho \operatorname{div} \mathbf{U} = 0, \\ c_p \frac{D}{Dt} \mathcal{T} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{D}{Dt} p = Q_{\mathcal{T}}, \\ \frac{D}{Dt} q = Q_q \end{array} \right.$$

Ideas :

- Use the pressure as a vertical coordinate.



J.L. Lions and R. Temam and S. Wang

New formulations for the primitive equations for the atmosphere and applications
Nonlinearity, 5(2), pp 237–288, 1992.



R. Temam and M. Ziane

Some mathematical problems in geophysical fluid dynamics.
Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics. Vol. III, 2004.

MAIN STEPS [LTW92, TZ04] :

Equations are

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rho \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{u} + \nabla_x p = \mu \Delta_x \mathbf{u} + \nu \partial_y^2 \mathbf{u}, \\ \partial_y p = -g\rho, \quad p = c^2 \rho \\ \frac{d}{dt} \rho + \rho \operatorname{div} \mathbf{U} = 0, \\ c_p \frac{D}{Dt} \mathcal{T} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{D}{Dt} p = Q_{\mathcal{T}}, \\ \frac{D}{Dt} q = Q_q \end{array} \right.$$

Ideas :

- Use the pressure as a vertical coordinate.
- Write equations in spherical coordinate.



J.L. Lions and R. Temam and S. Wang

New formulations for the primitive equations for the atmosphere and applications
Nonlinearity, 5(2), pp 237–288, 1992.



R. Temam and M. Ziane

Some mathematical problems in geophysical fluid dynamics.
Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics. Vol. III, 2004.

MAIN STEPS [LTW92, TZ04] :

Equations are

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \rho \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{u} + \nabla_x p = \mu \Delta_x \mathbf{u} + \nu \partial_y^2 \mathbf{u}, \\ \partial_y p = -g\rho, \quad p = c^2 \rho \\ \frac{d}{dt} \rho + \rho \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0, \\ c_p \frac{D}{Dt} \mathcal{T} - \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{D}{Dt} p = Q_{\mathcal{T}}, \\ \frac{D}{Dt} q = Q_q \end{array} \right.$$

Ideas :

- Use the pressure as a vertical coordinate.
- Write equations in spherical coordinate.
- Mass equation is changed into incompressible one : Leray's results are available.

return



J.L. Lions and R. Temam and S. Wang

New formulations for the primitive equations for the atmosphere and applications
Nonlinearity, 5(2), pp 237–288, 1992.



R. Temam and M. Ziane

Some mathematical problems in geophysical fluid dynamics.
Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics. Vol. III, 2004.

MAIN STEPS [GK05] : 2D-CPEs

Equations are

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{d}{dt}\xi + \xi(\partial_x u + \partial_z w) = 0, \\ \rho \frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{u} + \partial_x \xi = \Delta \mathbf{u}, \\ \partial_z \xi = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

with $\frac{d}{dt} := \partial_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x + v \partial_z$



B. V. Gatapov and A. V. Kazhikhov

Existence of a global solution to one model problem of atmosphere dynamics
Siberian Mathematical Journal, 46(5), pp 805-812, 2005.

MAIN STEPS [GK05] : 2D-CPEs

Equations are

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{d}{dt}\xi + \xi(\partial_x u + \partial_z w) = 0, \\ \rho \frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{u} + \partial_x \xi = \Delta \mathbf{u}, \\ \partial_z \xi = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

with $\frac{d}{dt} := \partial_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x + v \partial_z$ Ideas :

- Write equations in Lagrangian coordinates : $\tau = t$ and $\eta = \int_0^x \xi(t, s) ds$



B. V. Gatapov and A. V. Kazhikhov

Existence of a global solution to one model problem of atmosphere dynamics
Siberian Mathematical Journal, 46(5), pp 805-812, 2005.

MAIN STEPS [GK05] : 2D-CPEs

Equations are

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\xi + \xi(\partial_x u + \partial_z w) = 0, \\ \rho \frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{u} + \partial_x \xi = \Delta \mathbf{u}, \\ \partial_z \xi = 0. \end{cases}$$

with $\frac{d}{dt} := \partial_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x + v \partial_z$ Ideas :

- Write equations in Lagrangian coordinates : $\tau = t$ and $\eta = \int_0^x \xi(t, s) ds$
- Show by standard argument (Gronwall inequality, Cauchy-Schwartz, ...) that the density is bounded from below and above



B. V. Gatapov and A. V. Kazhikhov

Existence of a global solution to one model problem of atmosphere dynamics
Siberian Mathematical Journal, 46(5), pp 805-812, 2005.

MAIN STEPS [GK05] : 2D-CPEs

Equations are

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt}\xi + \xi(\partial_x u + \partial_z w) = 0, \\ \rho \frac{d}{dt}\mathbf{u} + \partial_x \xi = \Delta \mathbf{u}, \\ \partial_z \xi = 0. \end{cases}$$

with $\frac{d}{dt} := \partial_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x + v \partial_z$ Ideas :

- Write equations in Lagrangian coordinates : $\tau = t$ and $\eta = \int_0^x \xi(t, s) ds$
- Show by standard argument (Gronwall inequality, Cauchy-Schwartz, ...) that the density is bounded from below and above
- Write mean-oscillation equations and apply a Schauder fixed point theorem

return



B. V. Gatapov and A. V. Kazhikhov

Existence of a global solution to one model problem of atmosphere dynamics
Siberian Mathematical Journal, 46(5), pp 805-812, 2005.

NOTATIONS

- $x = (x_1, x_2)$ horizontal and y vertical coordinate,
- $\mathbf{U} = (\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2), v)$ velocity vector (horizontal and vertical component),
- ρ density,
- p barotropic pressure,
- g gravity constant,
- c^2 usually set to \mathcal{RT} where \mathcal{R} is the specific gas constant for the air and \mathcal{T} the temperature,
- $\text{div}_x := \partial_{x_1} + \partial_{x_2}$, $D_x = (\nabla_x + \nabla_x^t)/2$,
- $\nu_1(t, x, y) \neq \nu_2(t, x, y)$ represent the anisotropic pair of viscosity depending on the density ρ ,
- $\frac{D}{Dt} := \partial_t + \mathbf{U} \cdot \nabla$,
- $\frac{d}{dt} := \partial_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla_x + v \partial_y$,
- $2D_x(\mathbf{u}) = \nabla_x \mathbf{u} + \nabla_x^t \mathbf{u} = (\partial_{x_i} \mathbf{u}_j + \partial_{x_j} \mathbf{u}_i)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2}$.